arXiv:math/0110226v1 [math.CV] 19 Oct 2001

Complex cobordism and embeddability of CR-manifolds

Bruno De Oliveira *

February 1, 2008

This paper studies complex cobordisms between compact, three dimensional, strictly pseudoconvex Cauchy-Riemann manifolds. Suppose the complex cobordism is given by a complex 2-manifold X with one pseudoconvex and one pseudoconcave end. We answer the following questions. To what extent is X determined by the pseudoconvex end? What is the relation between the embeddability of the pseudoconvex end and the embeddability of the pseudoconcave end of X? Do all CR-functions on the pseudoconvex end of X extend to holomorphic functions on the interior of X?

We introduce two methods to construct pseudoconcave surfaces that show that the complex 2-manifold X giving a complex cobordism is not determined by the pseudoconvex end. These two constructions give new methods to construct non-embeddable Cauchy-Riemann 3-manifolds and prove that embeddability of a strictly pseudoconvex Cauchy-Riemann 3-manifold is not a complex-cobordism invariant. We show that a new phenomenon occurs: there are CR-functions on the pseudoconvex end that do not extend to holomorphic functions on X. We also show that the extendability of the CR-functions from the pseudoconvex end is necessary but not sufficient for embeddability to be preserved under complex cobordisms.

A compact (2n+1)-dimensional Cauchy-Riemann manifold (CR-(2n+1)-manifold) consists of: a compact (2n+1)-dimensional manifold M, a rank n complex subbundle $T^{0,1}M \subset TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $T^{1,0}M \cap T^{0,1}M = \{0\}$ ($T^{1,0}M \equiv \overline{T^{0,1}M}$) and the integrability condition $[\overline{Z}, \overline{Z'}] \in T^{0,1}M$ for local sections $\overline{Z}, \overline{Z'} \in T^{0,1}M$. If the CR-(2n+1)-manifold has the additional property that any nonvanishing local section $\overline{Z}$ of $T^{0,1}M$ is such that $[Z, \overline{Z}] \notin T^{0,1}M \oplus T^{1,0}M$, it is called strictly pseudoconvex (SPCR-(2n+1)-manifold).

A differentiable function $f : M \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be a CR function if it verifies the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations $\overline{Z}f = 0$ for all local sections of $T^{0,1}M$. In other words, CR functions are the elements of the kernel of the $\overline{\partial}_b$ operator defined as $\overline{\partial}_b f = df|_{T^{0,1}M}$.

Definition A CR-(2n+1)-manifold M is embeddable if there is a collection of CR functions $\{f_1, ..., f_N\}$ giving an embedding $f = (f_1, ..., f_N) : M \to \mathbb{C}^N$.

The origin of CR-structures lies on the study of real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^N$. The CR-structure being given by $T^{0,1}M = T^{0,1}\mathbb{C}^N \cap TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$. A CR-function is a function that satisfies the differential conditions of holomorphy that can be verified along M. An important example of SPCR-manifolds are the boundaries of strictly pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^N$.

*Partially supported by a NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship

Definition A CR-(2n+1)-manifold M is fillable if it is the boundary of a normal complex Stein space X with the CR-structure induced from the complex structure of X. A filling of M is any normal complex space Y which is a modification of a Stein space and whose boundary is M.

An example of Rossi [Ro65] showed that not all compact SPCR-3-manifolds are embeddable. Later Boutet de Monvel [Bou74] showed that for $n \geq 2$ any compact SPCR-(2n+1)-manifold M is embeddable, this follows from the result of Kohn [Ko86] stating that the range of $\overline{\partial}_b$ is closed plus the result of [Bou74] stating that if the range of $\overline{\partial}_b$ is closed then M is embeddable. This same result implies that if M is fillable then M is embeddable since in [KoRo65] it was shown that if a SPCR-manifold is fillable then the range of $\overline{\partial}_b$ closed. In the other direction, it follows from the results of Harvey-Lawson [HaLa75] that every embeddable compact SPCR-(2n+1)-manifold is fillable by a unique normal Stein space. A significant amount of work has been produced to understand the structure of the set of embeddable SPCR-structures for 3-manifolds by Bland, Burns, Catlin, Epstein, Henkin and Lempert.

The results described above state that there is a rigidity on the possible fillings of an embeddable SPCR-3-manifold M_0, since any filling of M_0 is a modification of its unique normal Stein filling. We call a partial filling of M_0 a complex manifold X whose boundary components are M_0 as the pseudoconvex end and a SPCR-3-manifold M_1 as the pseudoconcave end. We show that the general problem of the embeddability of the pseudoconvex end of X is equivalent to the rigidity of the possible partial fillings of M_0. At the same time, in Proposition 1 and also Theorem 3, we give methods to construct pseudoconcave surfaces that demonstrate that there is no rigidity in the partial fillings of an embeddable SPCR-3-manifold.

As a consequence, Theorem 1 of this paper answers negatively a question raised by Epstein and Henkin in [EpHe1-00] asking if the embeddability of SPCR-3-manifolds is preserved under complex cobordism. One reason for their question arose from the study of the closedness for the C^∞ topology of the set of small embeddable deformations a given CR-structure on a 3-manifold. In [EpHe2-00] one is led to analyse the embeddability of SPCR-3-manifolds ∂X_- that are the boundaries of pseudoconcave surfaces X_- which have inside another pseudoconcave surface Y_- whose boundary ∂Y_- is embeddable. It was thought that this might be enough to guarantee the embeddability of ∂X_-, one of the consequences of our results is that it is not.

As we shall see, one of the obstructions to get the embeddability of ∂X_- is that the map giving the embedding Y_- in general does not extend to a map of X_-. This has to do with the non-extendability of CR-functions on ∂Y_- to holomorphic functions on $\mathrm{Int}(X_- \setminus Y_-)$. We show this is possible using the method to construct pseudoconcave surfaces described by Proposition 1. This is a new phenomenon that is not possible in higher dimensions. On the other hand, Theorem 2 shows that the extendability of all CR-functions of ∂Y_- and therefore the extendability of the map giving the embedding of Y_- to X_- is not equivalent to the embeddability of ∂X_- (though it is necessary by a result of Kohn and Rossi [KoRo65]).

Another interpretation for Theorem 2 is that complex cobordisms not preserving embeddability can be similar to complex cobordisms preserving embeddability. Our last result

renforces that claim. Theorem 3 shows that in a family of complex-cobordism manifolds the property that the complex-cobordism preserves embeddability is not locally stable. This theorem also implies a result on the stability of embeddability for CR-structures on a 3-dimensional manifold that are complex cobordant to a given embeddable SPCR-3-manifold.

Acknowledments I would like to thank Professor Charles Epstein for generously sharing his knowledge with me and for his enthusiasm and Professor Siu for always inspiring and encouraging me.

SECTION 1

Let X be a compact complex (n+1)-manifold with boundary, such that the boundary components M_i are all SPCR-(2n+1)-manifolds. Each of the boundary components of X, M_i, has an open neighborhood $D_i \subset X$ and a smooth function ϕ_i defined on D_i such that $M_i = \{\phi_i = 0\}$ and ϕ_i is strictly plurisubharmonic on $D_i \setminus M_i$. Moreover, M_i will be one of the following: pseudoconvex end (resp. pseudoconcave end) if $\phi_i \leq 0$ (resp. $\phi_i \geq 0$) in a neighborhood of M_i.

Definition A compact complex manifold X as described above is called a (1,1)-convex-concave manifold. A (1,1)-convex-concave complex surface with no pseudoconvex (pseudoconcave) ends is called a pseudoconcave (resp. pseudoconvex) surface.

We analyze how the embeddability of one boundary component of a (1,1)-convex-concave manifold influences the embeddability of the other components. We introduce the notation of [EpHe1-00]:

Definition Two CR-manifolds M_0 and M_1 are called complex-cobordant if there is a (1,1)-convex-concave manifold X, the complex-cobordism manifold, such that $\partial X = M_0 \cup M_1$ (as CR-manifolds), M_0 and M_1 are respectively the pseudoconvex and pseudoconcave ends. If in addition there is a strictly plurisubharmonic function ρ on X with M_0 and M_1 as level sets then M_0 and M_1 are called strictly CR-cobordant.

Let M_0 and M_1 be two complex cobordant SPCR-3-manifolds with complex cobordism manifold X. What is the relation between the embeddability of M_0 and M_1? The first observation is that the embeddability of the pseudoconcave end M_1 implies the embeddability of the pseudoconvex end M_0 since in this case M_0 would be fillable. The relevant problem is the implication in the other direction, does the embeddability of the pseudoconvex end imply the embeddability of the pseudoconcave end?

A result of Epstein and Henkin [EpHe3-00] shows that if the SPCR-manifolds M_0 and M_1 are strictly CR-cobordant then M_0 is embeddable iff M_1 is embeddable. This result also answers the local version of the question raised above, for in the (1,1)-convex-concave manifold X there is a sufficiently small (1,1)-convex-concave collar of M_0 which is a strict CR-cobordism between its boundary components.

The embeddability of the the pseudoconvex end M_0 implying the embeddability of the pseudoconcave end M_1 has geometrical interpretations that motivated the question above as well as its answer.

Definition Let M_0 be an embeddable be SPCR-(2n+1)-manifold. We call a (1,1)-convex-concave manifold X with M_0 as its only pseudoconvex end a partial filling of M_0. We say that we have rigidity on the partial fillings of M_0 if they are all modifications of subsets of the unique Stein normal space filling M_0.

It follows from [Ro65] that for $n \geq 2$ all partial fillings of an SPCR-(2n+1)-manifold M_0 are rigid. The following describes the relationship between the rigidity of the possible partial fillings of M_0 and the embeddability of the pseudoconcave ends of those partial fillings. The embeddability of M_0 implies that M_0 bounds a unique normal Stein surface S. Assume that all pseudoconcave ends M_i are embeddable and S_i are their respective Stein fillings. Let $S' = X \amalg^i_{M_i} S_i$ be the complex space obtained by gluing X to the S_i along the M_i. Since S' is a strictly pseudoconvex filling of M_0 it follows that X is an open subset of S or of one of its modifications. The result of Epstein and Henkin states, regardless of the dimension, that in any partial filling of M there is a sufficiently small collar of M_0 in X that is rigid. We will demonstrate that partial fillings of an embeddable SPCR-3-manifold are far from rigid and in particular some will not extend to an actual filling. This is another difference between SPCR-3-manifolds and SPCR-(2n+1)-manifolds for $n \geq 2$.

Lempert in [Le95] showed that every embeddable SPCR-3-manifold M can be presented as the separating hypersurface in a projective surface W. M separates W in two components: the pseudoconcave W_- with a positive curve $C \subset W_-$ and the pseudoconvex W_+. This implies that any embeddable SPCR-3-manifold M_0 is the boundary of a pseudoconcave surface W_- moreover this pseudoconcave surface is embedded in some projective surface W (note that there is no rigidity for the pseudoconcave fillings of M_0). Therefore the partial fillings of M_0 can be seen as extensions of the pseudoconcave surface W_- that are also pseudoconcave surfaces. This will be the approach taken in this paper to produce examples of complex cobordisms.

The next lemma is one of the essential ingredients in the construction of the examples on this paper.

Lemma 1 If X is a nonsingular complex surface containing the normal crossing divisor $C = C_1 \cup ... \cup C_k$, with C_i nonsingular complete curves with $C_i^2 > 0$. Then there exists a neighborhood W of C and a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function $\varphi : W \setminus C \to \mathbb{R}$ such that:

i) For every sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $x_n \in W \setminus C$ converging to $x \in C$, $\lim_{x_n \to x} \varphi(x_n) = +\infty$.

ii) For $c \gg 0$, $X_c = \{x \in W : \varphi(x) \geq c \text{ or } x \in C\}$ is a pseudoconcave neighborhood of C.

Proof: We prove the statement for $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ with C_1 and C_2 intersecting transversely at the single point $C_1 \cap C_2 = x_0$, the general statement follows from the arguments for this

case. Before starting the proof recall:

1) A function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$, $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, is strictly plurisubharmonic if the Levi form, the quadratic form associated with the complex Hessian $[\partial\bar{\partial} f]$:

$$Lf \equiv \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \overline{z}_\beta \partial z_\alpha} dz_\alpha \otimes d\overline{z}_\beta$$

is positive definite.

2) If h is a hermitian metric on a line bundle L. Then:

$$-\partial\overline{\partial} \log h = \sum R_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} dz_\alpha \wedge d\overline{z}_\beta$$

where $\sum R_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} dz_\alpha \wedge d\overline{z}_\beta$ is the Ricci form for the hermitian connection.

3) A line bundle L on a compact Kahler manifold M is positive/negative if there is a hermitian metric having a positive/negative definite matrix of coefficients of the Ricci form at every point of M (such metric is called positive/negative). For curves a line bundle is positive if its degree is positive.

We proceed by constructing the function $\phi : W \setminus C_1 \cup C_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ with the desired properties. Let $(U_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a covering of X consisting of relatively compact open subsets of X. Denote by f_i the defining equations for $C_1 \cup C_2$ in U_i. Choose this covering such that $x_0 \in U_0$ and $f_0 = z_1 z_2$ and for all other U_i either i) $f_i = 1$ or ii) $f_i = z_1$.

Since $C_1^2, C_2^2 > 0$ then $\deg(O(C_1 + C_2)|_{C_1}), \deg(O(C_1 + C_2)|_{C_2}) > 0$ and by 3) we can find positive metrics g^1, g^2 on respectively $O(C_1 + C_2)|_{C_1}$ and $O(C_1 + C_2)|_{C_2}$. To define a metric g on $O(C_1 + C_2)|_{C_1 \cup C_2}$ we scale g^1 such that g^1 and g^2 agree at $x = x_0$ and make $g|_{C_1} = g^1$ and $g|_{C_2} = g^2$. Let h be a metric on $O(C_1 + C_2)$ such that $h = g$ on $O(C_1 + C_2)|_{C_1 \cup C_2}$, denote $h_i = h|_{U_i}$.

The desired function ϕ is constructed by gluing local functions of the following form:

$$\phi_i = \phi|_{U_i \setminus \{f_i = 0\}} = -\log(h_i |f_i|^2)$$

Since $\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_i = \partial\bar{\partial}(-\log(h_i))$ where $f_i \neq 0$, the properties of the Levi form of ϕ_i, $L(\phi_i)$, follow from the properties of $L(-\log(h_i))$, i.e properties of the curvature of the metric. In particular, if we can find a neighborhood W of $C_1 \cup C_2$ where the metric h is positive then ϕ is strictly plurisubharmonic on $W \setminus C_1 \cup C_2$. The next step then is to make the metric h positive in a neighborhood of $C_1 \cup C_2$.

First, we modify h to make the metric positive near x_0. We achieve this by making the matrix $[\partial\bar{\partial} \log h_0]$ negative definite at $x = x_0$, but preserving $h|_{C_1 \cup C_2} = g$. Since $h_0|_{\{z_1=0\}} = g^1|_{C_1 \cap U_0}$ and $h_0|_{\{z_2=0\}} = g^1|_{C_2 \cap U_0}$ and both metrics g^1, g^2 are positive, it follows that the diagonal elements of $[\partial\bar{\partial} \log h_0](x_0)$ are negative. We can assume that the covering is such that there is a ball $B_{x_0}(r)$ satisfying $B_{x_0}(r) \cap U_i = \emptyset$, $i \neq 0$. Change h_0 to $h_0 e^{2Re(a z_1 \bar{z}_2).\rho}$ where $a = -\frac{\partial^2 \log h_0(x_0)}{\partial \bar{z}_2 \partial z_1}$ and ρ a smooth function on U_0 with value 1 on $B_{x_0}(\frac{r}{2})$ and value 0

outside $B_{x_0}(r)$. The new h_0 has a negative definite diagonal complex Hessian since its entries are equal to the diagonal entries of the complex Hessian for the previous h_0.

Second, we modify h to make the metric also positive away from x_0 but near the curve $C_1 \cup C_2$. This modification is done by changing the h_i to:

$$h_i' = \frac{h_i}{1 + ch|f_i|^2}$$

This type of modification of h_i was done for the smooth case by [Sc73]. The complex Hessian of $\log h_i'$ is given by $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_i'] = [\partial\bar\partial \log h_i] - [\partial\bar\partial \log(1 + ch|f_i|^2)]$. We show that by increasing c we can make $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_i']$ negative definite for all points $x \in C_1 \cup C_2$. The contribution of $[\partial\bar\partial \log(1 + ch|f_i|^2)]$ is:

1) $\partial\bar\partial \log(1 + ch_i|z_1|^2) = ch_i dz_1 \wedge d\bar z_1$ on $U_i \cap \{z_1 = 0\}$, $i \neq 0$.

2) $\partial\bar\partial \log(1 + ch_0|z_1 z_2|^2) = ch_0|z_2|^2 dz_1 \wedge d\bar z_1 + ch_0|z_1|^2 dz_2 \wedge d\bar z_2$ on $U_0 \cap \{z_1 z_2 = 0\}$.

There is a ball $B_{x_0}(r) \subset U_0$ such that $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_0]$ is negative definite on $\{z_1 z_2 = 0\} \cap B_{x_0}(r)$, since $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_0](x_0)$ is negative definite. Note that it follows from 2) that for any $c > 0$, the matrix $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_0']$ differs from $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_0']$ only on the diagonals, which become more negative (the negativity of the diagonal entries follow from the positivity of the metrics g^1 and g^2). So $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_0]$ is negative definite on $\{z_1 z_2 = 0\} \cap B_{x_0}(r)$ for all $c > 0$.

Without loss of generality we can assume that any $x \in C_1 \cup C_2$ not in $B_{x_0}(r)$ is in U_i, $i \neq 0$. We show that when c sufficiently big there is a neighborhood $V \subset X$ of x where $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_i']$ is negative definite. Since the metrics g^1 and g^2 are positive, it follows that the diagonal entry $\frac{\partial^2 \log h_i}{\partial \bar z_2 \partial z_2}(x)$ of $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_i](x)$ is negative. By 1) we see that $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_i']$ differs from $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_i](x)$ only on the other diagonal which can be made as negative as desired by increasing c. Hence there is a c for which $[\partial\bar\partial \log h_i'](x)$ is negative definite. Then the existence of a metric h' which is positive in a neighborhood of $C_1 \cup C_2$ follows from a compactness argument. This establishes i) and ii) follows immediately.

The next proposition shows that pseudoconcave surfaces can have two nonintersecting positive curves. An application of this result is done in the proof of Theorem 1. We note that the analogous result does not hold in higher dimensions (see the remark after Corollary 1)

Proposition 1 There are pseudoconcave surfaces with positive curves C_i, i.e $C_i^2 > 0$, that do not intersect. Moreover one can assume that each of these curves has an embeddable pseudoconcave neighborhood.

Proof We will construct a pseudoconcave surface S containing two positive curves C_1 and C_3 that do not intersect, the proof of the general statement follows directly from the argument described below.

Let C_1, C_2 and C_3 be 3 positive curves in the compact complex surfaces X_1, X_2 and X_3 respectively. First, we glue a neighborhood of C_1 in X_1 with a neighborhood of C_2 in X_2 obtaining a surface X_4 containing C_1 and C_2 intersecting transversely at one point.

Pick a point $p_1 \in C_1$. Let U_1 be a subset of X_1 containing p_1 and ϕ a biholomorphism $\phi : U_1 \to \Delta \times \Delta$, Δ the disc of radius 1, such that $\phi(C_1 \cap U_1) = 0 \times \Delta$. Let U_2 be an open subset of X_1 such that $C_1 \subset U_1 \cup U_2$ and $\phi(U_1 \cap U_2) \subset \Delta_{\frac{1}{2}} \times \Delta$, $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}$ the disc of radius $1/2$.

Do the same for C_2 in X_2. Pick a point $p_2 \in C_2$, an open subset $V_1 \subset X_2$ and φ a biholomorphism $\varphi : V_1 \to \Delta \times \Delta$ such that $\varphi(C_2 \cap V_1) = \Delta \times 0$. Pick V_2 such that $C_2 \subset V_1 \cup V_2$ and $\varphi(V_1 \cap V_2) \subset \Delta \times \Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The surface X_4 is the surface given by the open sets $\Delta \times \Delta$, U_2 and V_2 with the gluings of U_2 and V_2 with $\Delta \times \Delta$ given by respectively $\phi|_{U_1 \cap U_2}$ and $\varphi|_{V_1 \cap V_2}$.

Now repeat the same argument for the curve $C_1 \cup C_2$ in X_4 and the curve C_3 in X_3, picking a point in C_2 that is not the crossing point. The resulting surface X has two positive curves, C_1 and C_3 not intersecting and the neighborhood germs of C_1, C_2 and C_3 in X will be the initial neighborhood germs in X_1, X_2 an X_3 respectively. The surface X and the curves C_1, C_2 and C_3 satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1 hence there is a pseudoconcave neighborhood of $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ on X.

Theorem 1 Embeddability of CR-3-manifolds is not a complex-cobordism invariant.

Proof: Let M_0 and M_1 be two complex-cobordant SPCR-3-manifolds and X the complex-cobordism manifold with M_0, M_1 as the pseudoconvex and pseudoconcave ends respectively. The pseudoconcave end M_1 being embeddable is the same as M_1 being fillable. This in turn, implies that X is a subset of a modification of the unique Stein normal filling of M_0. Kohn and Rossi [KoRo65] showed that if a SPCR-manifold M bounds a Stein normal space S, then any CR-function on M extends to an holomorphic function of S. Therefore the embeddability of M_1 implies that any CR-function on M_0 must extend to an holomorphic function on the interior of X.

The surface constructed in the proof of proposition 1 contains a pseudoconcave neighborhood W of the connected chain of positive curves $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$. Recall that by the construction of the surface, C_1 has an embeddable pseudoconcave neighborhood $W_1 \subset W$. The complex manifold $X = W \setminus W_1$ has the embeddable pseudoconvex boundary component $M_0 = \partial W_1$, and the pseudoconcave boundary component $M_1 = \partial W$. Since M_0 is embeddable it must have non constant CR-functions. On the other hand, X contains a pseudoconcave neighborhood of the positive curve C_3 in its interior, therefore all holomorphic functions on the $\mathrm{Int}(X)$ must be constant . This follows from the maximum principle and the fact that the pseudoconcavity of W_3 implies that the set $\hat{W}_3 = \{x \in X || f(x)| \leq \sup_{y \in W_3} |f(y)|$ for all holomorphic functions on Int(X)$\}$ satisfies $\overline{W}_3 \subset \mathrm{Int}(\hat{W}_3)$ [AnGr62]. The constancy of all holomorphic functions on $\mathrm{Int}(X)$ implies that not all CR-functions on M_0 extend to holomorphic functions on the interior of X, hence by the previous paragraph M_1 is not embeddable.

Corollary 1 (of Proposition 1) There are (1,1)-convex-concave manifolds of complex dimension 2 with more than one pseudoconvex end.

Remark The phenomenon described in Corollary 1 is only possible in dimension 2 since Rossi in [Ro65] proved that a (1,1)-convex-concave manifold of complex dimension n, $n > 2$, has at most one pseudoconvex end. The result analogous to Proposition 1 would propose, for all dimensions, the existence of a pseudoconcave complex manifold Y containg two complete hypersurfaces having disjoint pseudoconcave neighborhoods. For the sake of completness, we give a quick argument that shows that the generalized proposition does not hold if $\dim Y > 2$, a fact that also follows imediately from Rossi's result. Let X be the compact complex space obtained by gluing to Y the filling of ∂Y, which exists since $\dim Y > 2$. X has two pseudoconcave neighborhoods W_1 and W_2, of respectively the complete hypersurfaces H_1 and H_2, satisfying $W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset$. Then $X \setminus \overline{W}_1$ is a strictly pseudoconvex complex space containing the pseudoconcave neighborhood W_2 of H_2, which is an impossibility. On one hand $X \setminus \overline{W}_1$ has non-constant holomorphic functions since it is an open holomorphic convex space, and on the other hand it has no non-constant holomorphic functions since it contains the pseudoconcave neighborhood W_2.

SECTION 2

The examples of complex cobordisms described in the proof of Theorem 1 are very degenerate with respect to preserving embeddability. In particular, the complex-cobordism manifold X has positive curves inside and therefore no non-constant CR-function on the pseudoconvex end M_0 extends to a holomorphic function on $\mathrm{Int}(X)$. We proceed to show that complex cobordisms not preserving embeddability can be quite similar to the ones that do.

The most natural candidate for a great divide between complex-cobordisms preserving and not preserving embeddability would be on the extendability of the CR-functions of the pseudoconvex end. The next result shows this divide does not occur.

Theorem 2 Let M_0 be an embeddable SPCR-3-manifold and M_1 be complex-cobordant to M_0 with complex-cobordism manifold X having M_0 as the pseudoconvex end. The extendability of the CR-functions on M_0 to holomorphic functions on $\mathrm{Int}(X)$ does not imply that M_1 is embeddable.

Proof As was explained in the proof of theorem 1, the extendability of the CR-functions is a necessary condition for the embeddability of M_1, we show that it is not sufficient.

To prove the theorem we proceed to construct a pseudoconcave surface containing three $\mathbb{P}^1$ where two of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ do not intersect but each of these two intersects the third $\mathbb{P}^1$ at one point (which is not the same). We also ask for the germs of the neighborhoods to be equivalent to the neighborhood of the linear $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ (we denote such curves as the linear $\mathbb{P}^1$'s). One way to construct this surface is to use the method described in proposition 1. We now give another way to do this construction.

Consider three linear $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ C_1, C_2 and C_3 with U_1, U_2 and U_3, tubular neighborhoods of each of these curves such that $U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U_3 = \emptyset$. Let W' be the complex surface that results from $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ by separating U_2 from U_3. The curves in W' coming from the

three linear $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and the surface W' satisfy the conditions stated in the above paragraph. Denote the three linear $\mathbb{P}^1$'s in W' also by C_1, C_2 and C_3 ($C_2 \cap C_3 = \emptyset$). Lemma 1 implies that there is a pseudoconcave surface $W \subset W'$ containing the curve $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$. Since W contains 2 disjoint positive curves C_2 and C_3, W is not an embeddable pseudoconcave surface , which in turn implies that ∂W is also not embeddable.

After making the identification of $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C_1$ with $\mathbb{C}^2$, consider a sufficiently large ball such that its complement W_1 in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is contained in $U_1 \cap W$ (W lives in W' but $U_1 \cap W$ is a subset of both $\mathbb{P}^2$ and W'). Clearly all CR-functions of the boundary of the ball extend to the interior of the ball and therefore to $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 \setminus W_1$. If one considers W_1 as a pseudoconcave surface in W, this in turn implies that all CR-functions on ∂W_1 extend to holomorphic functions on $\mathrm{Int}(W \setminus W_1)$ and the result follows.

In order to express a consequence of Theorem 2, we introduced the two weakened versions of embeddability of a pseudoconcave surface X_- described in [EpHe2-00].

Definition: Let X_- be a pseudoconcave surface with a positive curve Z, (X_-, Z) is called weakly embeddable if the holomorphic map defined by the sections of $H^0(X_-, O(dZ))$, $\varphi_d : X_- \to \mathbb{P}^n$, is injective in some neighborhood of Z for sufficiently large d. The pair (X_-, Z) is called almost embeddable if in addition the map $\varphi_d : X_- \to \mathbb{P}^n$ is an embedding outside a proper analytic subset of $X_- \setminus Z$..

In [EpHe2-00] the authors ask about the relationship between these two notions of weakened embeddability. The interest sprouted from the fact that one has an operative numerical criterion involving the dimensions $h^0(X_-, O(dZ))$ characterizing weak embeddability and that almost embeddability of X_- implies embeddability of ∂X_-. In the proof of Theorem 2 we constructed the weakly pseudoconcave surface (W, C_1) such that for any d the maps $\varphi_d : W \to \mathbb{P}^n$ can not distinguish any pair points in W that came from the same point in $U_2 \cap U_3$ and we have:

Corollary 2 The weak embeddability of a pseudoconcave surface (X_-, Z) does not imply that (X_-, Z) is almost embeddable.

SECTION 3

As discussed above, there are geometrical consequences of the extendability of CR-functions from the pseudoconcave end. As a particular example of this, we have the next proposition that is used the proof of Theorem 3.

Proposition 2 Let X be a pseudoconcave surface having two positive nonsingular curves C_1 and C_2 with one normal crossing. Assume that $C_1 = \mathbb{P}^1$, $C_1^2 = 1$ and C_1 has an embeddable pseudoconcave neighborhood $X_1 \subset X$. If all CR-functions on ∂X_1 extend to holomorphic functions on $\mathrm{Int}(X \setminus X_1)$ then $C_2 = \mathbb{P}^1$ and the germs of the neighborhoods of C_1 and C_2 in X are equivalent to the germ of the neighborhood of the linear $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

Proof By [MoRo81] we know that the neighborhood germ of C_1 in X is equivalent to neighborhood germ of the linear $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. For convenience of the rest of our argument, we give a proof of this fact. By hypothesis X_1 is embeddable, hence X_1 is contained in a smooth projective surface S. S must be a rational surface since it contains the rational curve C_1 with positive self-intersection. From the exact sequence $0 \to O_S \to O_S(C_1) \to O_{C_1}(C_1) \to 0$ and the vanishing $H^1(S, O_S) = 0$, it follows that $h^0(S, O_S(C_1)) = 3$. Any basis of $H^0(S, O_S(C_1))$ defines a map $f : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ which by the Stein factorization is a composition of a map with connected fibers and a finite map. The connected map contracts exactly the curves not intersecting C_1. The finite map is the identity map since the preimage a general point in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is one point. The preimage of a general point in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is given by the intersection of two smooth sections in $H^0(S, O_S(C_1))$, which is one point since $C_1^2 = 1$. From the previous, it follows that the map $f : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is an embedding of a neighborhood of C_1 and the image of C_1 by f is a linear $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

The map $f : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is given by $g(x) = [s_0(x) : s_1(x) : s_2(x)]$, where s_0, s_1 and s_2 are a basis of $H^0(S, O(C_1)) \subset H^0(X_1, O(C_1))$. We are interested in extending $f|_{X_1}$ to a map $f' : \mathrm{Int}(X) \to \mathbb{P}^2$. To achieve this goal, we show that the sections $s_0, s_1, s_2 \in H^0(X_1, O(C_1))$ can be extended to sections $s_0', s_1', s_2' \in H^0(\mathrm{Int}(X), O(C_1))$.

A set of defining equations for C_1 in $\mathrm{Int}(X)$ give a section $s_0' \in H^0(\mathrm{Int}(X), O(C_1))$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $s_0 = s_0'|_{X_1}$. To extend the other sections s_1 and s_2, we use the equalities $s_i = \frac{s_i}{s_0} s_0$, $i = 1, 2$. Note that $\frac{s_1}{s_0}$ and $\frac{s_2}{s_0}$ are holomorphic functions on $S \setminus C_1$. The restrictions of these functions, $\frac{s_1}{s_0}|_{\partial X_1}$ and $\frac{s_2}{s_0}|_{\partial X_1}$, are CR-functions on ∂X_1. The assumptions on X_1 imply that the CR-functions $\frac{s_1}{s_0}|_{\partial X_1}$ and $\frac{s_2}{s_0}|_{\partial X_1}$ extend. Therefore we obtain two holomorphic functions on $\mathrm{Int}(X \setminus C_1)$ denoted by $\frac{s_1'}{s_0'}$, $\frac{s_2'}{s_0'}$ that extend $\frac{s_1}{s_0}|_{X_1 \setminus C_1}$ and $\frac{s_2}{s_0}|_{X_1 \setminus C_1}$. The extensions s_1' and s_2' follow from the extended identities $s_i' = \frac{s_i'}{s_0'} s_0'$, $i = 1, 2$.

In conclusion, $h^0(\mathrm{Int}(X), O(C_1)) \geq 3$ and its sections s_0', s_1', s_2' give an extension of the map $f|_{X_1} : X_1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ to a map $f' : \mathrm{Int}(X) \to \mathbb{P}^2$. As an immediate consequence we have that C_2 must be a rational curve. The map $f'|_{C_2} : C_2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is given $s_0'|_{C_2}, s_1'|_{C_2}, s_2'|_{C_2} \in H^0(C_2, O(C_1)|_{C_2})$ and since the image $f'(C_2)$ is not a point, it follows that $h^0(C_2, O(C_1)|_{C_2}) \geq 2$. C_2 must be a rational curve, since only rational curves have line bundles of degree 1 with two linearly independent sections.

We want to show that f' embeds a neighborhood $X_2 \subset X$ of C_2. As a first step, we get that $f'|_{C_2} : C_2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is an embedding and its image is a linear $\mathbb{P}^1$, since $\deg f'(C_2) . \deg f' = \deg_{C_2} f'^* O(1) = C_1 . C_2 = 1$. As a second step, we obtain that the differential df_*' has full rank at all points of C_2. To see this, we use the commutative diagram:

(1)
$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{C_2} & \longrightarrow & T_{X|_{C_2}} & \longrightarrow & N_{C_2} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle df_*'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{df_*'}} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & f'^* T_{f'(C_2)} & \longrightarrow & f'^* T_{\mathbb{P}^2|_{f'(C_2)}} & \longrightarrow & f'^* N_{f'(C_2)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

After the isomorphisms $N_{C_2} \simeq O(n)$ and $f'^* N_{f'(C_2)} \simeq O(1)$, morphism $\overline{df_*'}$ of (1) becomes $\overline{df_*'} : O(n) \to O(1)$ and hence $\overline{df_*}$ is a section of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, O(1-n))$. Since $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, O(1-n))$

is trivial for $n > 1$ and $\mathbb{C}$ for $n = 1$, it follows that for $n > 1$ the morphism $\overline{d}f'_*$ must be the trivial morphism and for $n = 1$ the morphism $\overline{d}f'_*$ can be trivial or an isomorphism. The morphism $\overline{df'_*}$ can not be trivial because in a sufficiently neighborhood $U \subset X_1$ of $p_0 = C_1 \cap C_2$, $f'|_U = f|_U$ and $f|_U$ is an embedding. Hence $n = 1$, i.e $C_2^2 = 1$ and df'_* has full rank at all points of C_2. The implicit function theorem implies the desired embedding of a neighborhood of C_2.

The last result has two interpretations. One is that the property that a complex manifold gives a complex-cobordism preserving embeddability is not stable under small deformations of the complex-cobordism manifold. The other is related to the understanding of the structure of the set of embeddable SPCR-3-manifolds which is one of the main goals of the subject. The result implies that the behavior of embeddability for SPCR-3-manifolds complex cobordant to a given embeddable SPCR-3- manifold M_0 share some of the same pathologies as in the general case, where there are no restrictions.

Theorem 3 In a family of complex-cobordism manifolds whose pseudoconvex ends are embeddable the property that the respective complex-cobordisms preserve embeddability is not locally stable.

Proof One proceeds by constructing a counterexample to the stability of the preservation of embeddability for complex-cobordisms.

Let C_1 and C_2 be two distinct linear $\mathbb{P}^1$'s in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $x_0 = C_1 \cap C_2$. Let U_0, U_1 and U_2 be a covering of a neighborhood U of $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ such that $x_0 \in U_0$, $C_1 \subset U_0 \cup U_1$, $C_2 \subset U_0 \cup U_2$, and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. We can choose $U_0 \simeq \Delta_1 \times \Delta_1$, $U_2 \simeq \Delta_1 \times \Delta_1$ satisfying $U_0 \cap C_2 = \Delta_1 \times 0$, $U_2 \cap C_2 = \Delta_1 \times 0$, $U_0 \cap U_1 \subset \Delta_{\frac{1}{2}} \times \Delta_1 \subset U_0$ and $U_0 \cap U_2 \subset \{z \in \Delta_1 || z| > \frac{1}{2}\} \times \Delta_1 \subset U_0$ where Δ_r is a disc with radius r. Moreover, under the identifications of U_0 and U_2 with $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_1$ done above, the gluing of U_0 with U_2 is given by a fiberwise map f, with respect to the fibers of the projection to the first factor. [MoRo81] shows that there exist holomorphic families of gluings of U_0 and U_2 described by fiberwise maps parametrized by t such that: for $t = 0$ one has the gluing induced by f ; for $t \neq 0$ the gluings give rise to open surfaces containing C_2 with the same normal bundle but the embedding of C_2 is inequivalent to the the embedding of C_2 for $t = 0$ (see the remark below). As noted above, these non-standard germs are not filable.

Let $\omega : \mathcal{V} \to \Delta$ be the family of surfaces , with $\mathcal{V}_t = V_t$ and $V_0 = U$, obtained by keeping the gluing of U_0 with U_1 but changing the gluing of U_0 with U_2 as in the previous paragraph. Each member V_t of the family has the curve C embedded with the same normal bundle. Hence the tubular neighborhood of C in all the V_t is diffeomorphic to the tubular neighborhood T of C in V_0. There is a smooth map $\phi : T \times \Delta \to \mathcal{V}$, with each $\phi_t : T \to T_t = \phi(T \times t) \subset V_t$ a diffeomorphism from T to a tubular neighborhood of C in V_t. The family of surfaces T_t can be described by the variation of the complex structure on T, induced by the diffeomorphisms ϕ_t.

By Lemma 1 one can construct a strictly plurisubharmonic function $g : V_0 \setminus C \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\{x \in V_0 : g(x) \geq c\} \subset T$ for $c \gg 0$. Denote $S_c = \{x \in T : g(x) = c\}$. Fix some $c \gg 0$, after possibly shrinking Δ, one can assume that for all $t \in \Delta$, $g_t = g \circ \phi_t^{-1} : T_t \setminus C \to \mathbb{R}$ is strictly plurisubharmonic on a neighborhood of $\phi_t(S_c)$. Let $W_t \subset V_t$ be the set coming

from the union of U_0 and U_1, by construction $W_t = W_0$ for all t. Repeating the arguments just described, one can pick a SPCR-3-manifold $M_0 \subset W_0 \cap \{x \in T : g(x) > c\}$ bounding a neighborhood of C_1 such that $\phi_t(M_0) = M_{0t} \subset W_t$ is also an SPCR-3-manifold.

In conclusion, one has a family of complex-cobordism manifolds $\omega' : \mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ such that each member X_t is the (1,1)-convex-concave submanifold of V_t with pseudoconvex end M_{0t} and pseudoconcave end M_{1t}. By construction M_{0t} is embeddable but M_{1t}, for $t \neq 0$ is not embeddable because if it were the previous proposition would imply that the neighborhood of C_2 would be equivalent to the neighborhood germ of the linear $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

Note that this proof shows that the property of the extendability of the CR-functions of the pseudoconvex end to holomorphic functions on the complex-cobordism manifold is also not locally stable in families of complex-cobordisms.

Remark The paper [MoRo81] studies the space of nonequivalent holomorphic neighborhood retracts (HNR) of a curve C with normal bundle L. An embedding of the curve C is a HNR if the neighborhood germ of C has a holomorphic fibration over C. If U and V are a covering of C such that U is a disc and $U \cap V$ is an annulus, then we can describe all HNR of C with normal bundle L, using the normal coordinates u, v in respectively U, V, by:

$$v = f(z,u) = g(z)(u + \sum_{i \geq 1} f_i(z) u^{i+1})$$

Where $g, f_i \in O(U \cap V)$ and g is the transition function for the normal bundle L. To the HNR described above we can associate a point in $E(\bigoplus_{i>0} H^1(C, L^{-i}))$, the weighted projective space with the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action $t.(x_1, x_2, , ..., x_n, ...) = (tx_1, t^2 x_2, ..., t^n x_n, ...)$. This is done by considering the f_i as cocycles representing classes of $H^1(C, L^{-i})$. [MoRo81] shows that the neighborhood germs of two HNRs of C with normal bundle L are equivalent only if the corresponding points in $E(\bigoplus_{i>0} H^1(C, L^{-i}))$ coincide. Since $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, O(-i)) \neq 0$ for $i \geq 2$ the moduli space for HNRs is infinite dimensional.

Corollary 3 Let M_0 be an embeddable SPCR-3-manifold. The embeddability of SPCR-3-manifolds complex-cobordant to M_0 is not stable, for small deformations of the CR-structure preserving the property of being complex-cobordant to M_0.

Proof In the proof of theorem 3 all the SPCR-3-manifolds M_{1t} are complex cobordant to S^3 with the canonical embeddable CR-structure.

Remark It would be very interesting to construct an example of a complex cobordidm manifold X with $\partial X = M_0 \amalg M_1$, such that $M_0 \simeq M_1 \simeq S^3$, M_0 is fillable but M_1 is not.

References

[AnGr62] **Andreotti, A., Grauert, H.** *Theoremes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes.* Bull. Soc. Math. France, 90,(1962),193–259.

[Bl94] **Bland, J.** *Contact geometry and CR structures on S^3.* Acta Math., 172,(1994),1–49.

[Bou74] **Boutet de Monvel** *Integration des equations de Cauchy-Riemann induites formelles.* Seminaire Goulaoic-Lions-Schwartz, Expose IX, (1974)

[BuEp90] **Burns, D., Epstein, E.** *Embeddability for three-dimensional CR-manifolds.* Jour. Am. Math. Soc, 3,(1990),809–841.

[EpHe1-00] **Epstein, C., Henkin, G.** *Embeddings for 3-dimensional CR-manifolds.* Progress in Math., 188, Birkhauser (2000).

[EpHe2-00] **Epstein, C., Henkin, G.** *Stability of embeddings for pseudoconcave surfaces and their boundaries.* Acta Math., 185:2, (2000), 161-237.

[EpHe3-00] **Epstein, C., Henkin, G.** *Can a good manifold come to a bad end?.* Preprint 2000.

[HaLa75] **Harvey, R., Lawson, H.** *On the boundaries of complex analytic varieties I.* Ann. Math., 102,(1975),223–295.

[Ha69] **Hartshorne , R.** *Curves with high self-intersection on algebraic surfaces* I.H.E.S, 36,(1969),111–125.

[Ko63] **Kohn, J.** *The range of the Cauchy-Riemann operators.* Duke Math. J. , 53,(1986),525-545.

[KoRo65] **Kohn, J., Rossi, H.***On the extension of holomorphic functions from the boundary of a complex manifold.* Ann. Math., 81,(1965),451-472.

[Le94] **Lempert, L.** *Embeddings of three dimensional Cauchy-Riemann manifolds.* Math. Ann., 300,(1994),1–15.

[Le95] **Lempert, L.** *Algebraic approximations in analytic geometry.* Inv. Math, 121,(1995),335–354.

[Ro65] **Rossi, H.** *Attaching analytic spaces to an analytic space along a pseudoconcave boundary.* "Proc. Conf. on Complex Manifolds" (A.Aeppli, E. Calabi, Rohrl eds.)", Springer-Verlag,New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1965.

[MoRo81] **Morrow, J., Rossi, H.** *Some general results on equivalence of embeddings.* Princeton Annals, Proceedings of 1979 Princeton Conference on Complex Analysis, 1981, 299–325.

[Sc73] **Schneider, M.** *Uber eine Vermutung von Hartshorne.* Math. Ann, 201,(1973),221–229.

B. de Oliveira, Harvard University and University of Pennsylvania.

Harvard University,
Department of Mathematics,

One Oxford Street,
Cambridge, MA 02138

bdeolive@math.harvard.edu, bdeolive@math.upenn.edu